from Nemmara, having his Head quarters at Chittur, will be more beneficial to the public of Nemmara than supervision by the local Panchayat with its local people there ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There will also be local subordinates under the Overseer and it will be a kind of departmental supervision. The Panchayat will not be excluded. They also are there a local body. They can also say whether things are going alright. They may raise complaints.

Mr. A. Sankara Poduval.—On a point of order. We don't understand this. We do not know why this amount is asked for. The Hon'ble Member simply moved for that grant. We do not know anything, and the Government members are expected to explain.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—As I explained before, this amount is expected to cover these four Panchayats, not any other Panchayats. Sanitation and conservancy works that were being attended to in these four Panchayats are now proposed to be done through the Department of the Director of Public Health and for that purpose Rs. 5,000 and odd is proposed to be transferred from the Panchayat Budget to the Department of Public Health. When the Department of Public Health was constituted the details about its working were not available. They came in only about the middle of Kanni. The appointment of the Director himself was only on the 1st of Kanni. These details therefore came only about the 15th of Kanni and only then came the necessity for the transfer of this amount. Of course all the 82 Panchayats, other than these four, will remain as they are under the control of the Registrar of Panchayats. With regard to these if there is any technical point on which the Director's opinion is solicited the Registrar will forward that paper to the Director and get his opinion. This was the idea.

Mr. A. Sankara Poduval.—This is not a matter of mere supervision. It is a matter of work being transferred.

Mr. P. Raman Menon.—I should like to know one thing. Whether this amount was originally allotted to these four Panchayats alone ?

Mr. P. V. Subramania Ayyar.—The total allotment for all the Panchayats together for Sanitation and Conservancy was Rs. 18,000, out of which Rs. 5,101 is proposed to be transferred. The balance of 13,000, will remain for all the other Panchayats together.

Mr. P. Raman Menon.—My question is, whether this Rs. 5,101 was originally allotted for expenses in connection with these Panchayats.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. P. V. Subramania Ayyar.—Yes.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—Since the other demand has been opposed on a principle, I don't just now move this cut motion.

Hence the motion was considered withdrawn.

Demand put and negatived.

4. 18—Sanitation and Conservancy—Cranganur—Rs. 2,802.

Dr. D. Raghavendra Rao.—Sir, I rise to request for the transfer of the allotment of Rs. 2,802 from 3—Village Panchayats—5—Sanitation and Conservancy to 18—Sanitation and Conservancy in the Cranganur Budget. I request the House to sanction the allotment.

Mr. A. Sankara Poduval—On the same ground as in regard to the previous motions, I beg to oppose it.

Deputy President.—There is no cut motion.

Demand put and negatived.

Cochin Income-tax Bill.

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—Sir, I rise to move that the Cochin Income-tax Bill, as amended by the Council, be taken up and passed.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—I second it.

Motion put and carried.

Tenancy Regulation Amendment Bill.

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—I rise to move that the Tenancy Regulation Amendment Bill, as amended by the Council, be taken up and passed.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—I second it.

Motion put and carried.

Civil Procedure Code Amendment Bill.

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—I rise to move that the Civil Procedure Code Amendment Bill be read in Council.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—I second it.

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—I don't think anything by way of explanation, is necessary. The statement of objects and reasons given in the Bill will sufficiently explain the object of the present Bill. If any body desires any information, I am ready to give it. It is only to enable senior or selected Munsiffs who may be recommended by the Chief Court to dictate judgments according to necessity. It is a very necessary provision.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—May I know why the senior Munsiffs alone and not all the Munsiffs?

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—Not only senior Munsiffs but selected District Munsiffs. If a particular Munsiff is not able to write judgments in his own hand and it is necessary for him to dictate, the Chief Court would recommend that he may be allowed to dictate judgments.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Motion put and carried.

Secretary to the Council.—The name of the Bill is "The Civil Procedure Code Amendment Bill".

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—I request the President to suspend the rule regarding time limit.

Deputy President.—Yes.

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—I rise to move that the bill be taken up for consideration.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—I second it.

Motion put and carried.

The following sections were then put and carried.

Preamble. Whereas it is expedient to amend the Cochin Civil Procedure Code, Regulation I of 1097, as amended by Regulation XX of 1095, it is hereby enacted as follows:—

Short title and commencement. 1. This Regulation may be called "The Cochin Civil Procedure Code (Amendment) Regulation of ... ... and it shall come into force at once.

Amendment of Section 198. 2. In the proviso to Section 198 of the Cochin Civil Procedure Code between the words "Courts" and "need" the words "and such of the District Munsiffs as are specially authorised by Our Government for the purpose" shall be inserted.

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—I rise to move that the Bill may be taken up and passed to-morrow.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.— I second it.

Motion put and carried.

COMPANIES REGULATION AMENDMENT BILL.

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—I rise to move that the Companies Regulation Amendment Bill be read in Council.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—I second it.

Motion put and carried.

Secretary to the Council.—The name of the Bill is "A Bill to amend the Indian Companies Act, 1913, as made applicable to Cochin by the Proclamation of His Highness the Maharaja, dated 19th Thulam 1097."

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—I rise to move that the Bill be taken up for consideration after suspension of notice, as provided in S. O. 32.

Deputy President.—Yes.

Mr. C. J. Mathew.—I second the motion.

Motion put and carried.

The following sections were then put and carried.

Preamble. Whereas it is deemed expedient to amend the law relating to trading corporations and other associations in Cochin, and to bring it into conformity with the law in British India, it is hereby enacted as follows :—

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

"1. (1) This Regulation may be called a Regulation to amend the Indian Companies Act, 1913, as made applicable to Cochin by the Proclamation of His Highness the Maharaja.

Short title.

(2) It shall come into force on such date as the Government may, by notification in the Cochin Government Gazette, appoint.

Commencement.

2. In sub-section (1) of section 26 of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, as made applicable to Cochin, after the word "science", the word "religion" shall be inserted.

Amendment of section 26, Indian Companies Act, 1913.

3. (a) For the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 144, the following proviso shall be substituted, namely, "Provided that a firm whereof all the partners practising in India hold such certificates may be appointed by its firm-name to be auditor of a company, and may act in its firm-name"; and

Amendment of section 144, Indian Companies Act, 1913.

(b) for sub-section (2) the following sub-sections shall be substituted, namely:—

"(2) The Government may, by notification in the Cochin Government Gazette and after previous publication, make rules providing for the grant, renewal or cancellation of such certificates and prescribing conditions and restrictions for such grant, renewal or cancellation:

Provided that nothing contained in such rules shall preclude any person from being granted a certificate merely by reason that he does not practise as a public accountant.

(2-A) In particular, and without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing power, such rules may—

(a) provide for the maintenance of a Register of Accountants entitled to apply for such certificates;

(b) prescribe the qualifications for enrolment on the Register and the fees therefor; and

(c) prescribe the circumstances in which the name of any person may be removed from or restored to, the Register.

(2-B) The holder of a certificate granted under this section shall be entitled to be appointed and act as an auditor of Companies throughout Cochin".

4. (1) All certificates granted by Government before the commencement of this Regulation entitling the holders, and all declarations made before the commencement of this Regulation by the Government entitling the members of any specified institution or association,

Certificates granted before the commencement of this Regulation.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly